

A. Letouzé · J. Gasquez

Inheritance of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance in a blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.) population

Received: 18 August 2000 / Accepted: 6 December 2000

Abstract A blackgrass population has developed resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl following field selection with the herbicide for 6 consecutive years. Within this population, 95% of the individuals are also resistant to flupyrsulfuron. Both the inheritance(s) and the mechanism(s) of resistances were investigated by making crosses between the resistant and a susceptible biotype. The inheritance was followed through the F_1 and F_2 generations either by spraying the herbicide on seedlings at the three-leaf stage or using a seedling bioassay, based on coleoptile length. No maternal effects were evident in the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl responses of the F_1 plants, suggesting that the inheritance was nuclear. Some F_1 families treated with fenoxaprop-P-ethyl segregated in a 3:1 (resistant:susceptible) ratio, indicating that the resistance was conferred by two dominant and independent nuclear genes. This was confirmed by the 15:1 (R:S) ratio observed in the F_2 generation treated with fenoxaprop-P-ethyl. The use of selective inhibitors of herbicide detoxifying enzymes (aminobenzotriazole, piperonylbutoxide, malathion and tridiphane) with the F_2 plants suggested that each of the two genes may govern two different mechanisms of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance: the ACCase mutation previously postulated and an enhanced herbicide metabolism, mediated by cytochrome P 450 mono-oxygenases (P 450) susceptible to malathion. The P 450 activity may also confer resistance to flupyrsulfuron. This study clearly indicates that two distinct mechanisms of resistance may co-exist in the same plant.

Keywords Fenoxaprop-P-ethyl · Flupyrsulfuron · Herbicide resistance · Blackgrass · Inheritance

Introduction

Herbicide-resistant weeds were confirmed in the late 1960 s (Ryan 1970). Since then, herbicide resistance has been steadily increasing with approximately nine new cases per year (Heap 1999). Whereas, in 1982, in the first compilation of herbicide resistance, only 30 resistant species were reported (Bandeet et al. 1982), the 1999 international survey of herbicide-resistant weeds recorded more than 220 resistant biotypes in 45 countries around the world (Heap 1999).

Herbicide resistance can be defined as the ability of a biotype to survive a herbicide treatment to which the species is normally susceptible (LeBaron 1987; LeBaron and McFarland 1990; Maxwell and Mortimer 1994). Resistance is a heritable trait in the population and not a transient phenotypic response to an environmental condition which may allow plants to escape the herbicide effect (LeBaron and Gressel 1982). It is commonly accepted that herbicide-resistant weeds occur naturally in populations at very low frequencies through recurrent mutation (Gressel and Segel 1978; Jasieniuk et al. 1995). These resistant plants will dominate a population only when they have a selective advantage in the presence of the herbicide. Therefore, repeated applications of a single herbicide, or herbicides with the same mode of action, provide the necessary selection pressure to shift weed populations toward high frequencies of resistant individuals. Herbicide resistance in weed species may be conferred by two major mechanisms: a modified herbicide target-site or an enhanced herbicide metabolism mediated by de-toxifying enzymes such as cytochrome P 450 mono-oxygenases (P 450) or glutathione transferases (GST) (Boustalis and Powles 1995; Devine 1997). Except for target-site triazine resistance, which is maternally inherited (Machado 1982), resistance to other herbicides most-commonly result from an alteration in a

Communicated by H.C. Becker

A. Letouzé (✉) · J. Gasquez
Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique,
Unité de Malherbologie et Agronomie, BP 86510,
21065 Dijon cedex, France

Present address:

A. Letouzé, Institut Universitaire Technologique,
Département "Génie Biologique", 39 rue Paul Mazy,
24019 Périgueux cedex, France

single nuclear gene (Jasieniuk et al. 1995). One notable exception is chlortoluron resistance in blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*), which is controlled by at least two additive genes (Chauvel 1991). Moreover, in most instances where resistance is encoded by a nuclear gene, it is expressed as either a dominant or a partially dominant trait. This is true for sulfonylurea herbicide-resistant *Lactuca* spp. (Mallory-Smith et al. 1990), as well as for acetyl CoA carboxylase-resistant wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) (Murray et al. 1995), diclofop-methyl-resistant italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) (Betts et al. 1992) or acetolactate synthase-resistant common cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) (Ohmes and Kendig 1999). An exception is dinitroaniline resistance in green foxtail (*Setaria viridis*) (Jasieniuk et al. 1994) and in goosegrass (*Eleusine indica*) (Zeng and Baird 1997), which is inherited as a recessive character.

Since 1960, blackgrass has been a common annual grass-weed in autumn-sown crop rotations of Atlantic European countries (Melander 1995; Chauvel et al. 2000), and it is widely known that the infestation level of blackgrass tends to increase when the proportion of winter cereals in the crop rotation is increased (Hurle 1993). In France, blackgrass populations have been reported to be particularly hard to control in winter cereal crops with fenoxaprop-P-ethyl, an inhibitor of the acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACCase), a key enzyme involved in fatty acid biosynthesis (Secor and Cséke 1988). Since 1993, the existence of more than 212 fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant populations has been reported, mainly in the north of France (Gasquez 1998). As blackgrass is highly allogamous, most of the resistant individuals are heterozygous for the resistance trait and populations are frequently made up of a mixture of susceptible and resistant plants (Chauvel and Gasquez 1994; Gasquez 1996; Letouzé et al. 1997). In 1996, a blackgrass population, highly resistant to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl, was reported in Burgundy (France). This population had been selected by an intensive and exclusive use of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl for 6 consecutive years and the individuals are resistant to ten-fold the recommended herbicide dose, probably due to an ACCase mutation (Letouzé and Gasquez 1999, 2000). Moreover, the majority of these fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant plants are also resistant to flupyr-sulfuron, an acetolactate synthase (ALS) inhibitor, when they have never been exposed to any other sulfonylurea herbicides (Letouzé and Gasquez 1998). Although the resistance mechanism to flupyr-sulfuron is still unknown, it is likely that this resistance has been selected by the intensive use of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl.

The objectives of the present study were, firstly, to determine the inheritance of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance in this blackgrass population and, secondly, to investigate the mechanisms of resistance involved in the population. To reach this goal, reciprocal crosses were made between the resistant and susceptible plants, and resistance segregation in the F_1 and F_2 generations was analysed. The resistance mechanism(s) to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl and flupyr-sulfuron were then investigated in the F_2

plants using a seedling bioassay with selective inhibitors of the herbicide de-toxifying enzymes P 450 mono-oxygenase (P 450) and glutathione transferases (GST). Understanding the genetics of herbicide resistance, as well as the mechanisms of resistance in weeds, will aid in predicting and possibly controlling the spread of the herbicide-resistant plants.

Materials and methods

Plant material

General procedures

Seeds were pre-germinated in 15 cm-diameter glass Petri-dishes lined with small glass tubes supporting one sheet of blotting paper (Germaflor No. 55, 160 g/m², Müller). To stimulate seed germination, Petri-dishes were filled with 30 ml of 2 g KNO₃ l⁻¹ and placed in a controlled environment room (12-h, 20°C light/12-h, 15°C dark). Each seedling was transplanted at the one-leaf stage to a pot (10 cm×10 cm×10 cm) containing potting soil (coarse sand and peat 1:3, v/v) and kept outdoors during the winter growing season to induce flowering. Before flowering, plants were transferred to the greenhouse (14-h, 22°C light/10-h, 12°C dark) to make the controlled crosses.

Parental populations

Susceptible (S) and fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant (R) parents, both collected in Burgundy (France), were used to conduct this inheritance study. The S parents came from a 100% susceptible population whereas the R parents were screened from a 100% resistant population selected by the intensive and exclusive use of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl for 6 years. A large majority (95%) of these fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant parents were also resistant to flupyr-sulfuron (Letouzé and Gasquez 1998; Letouzé et al. 1999). A total of 150 seeds from both the S and R populations were germinated as described above. The fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant parents involved in the crosses were selected by spraying 690 g a.i. ha⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl (as "Puma S" – 69 g a.i. L⁻¹, AgrEvo) with a laboratory sprayer at the three-leaf stage as described by Letouzé et al. (1997). This high herbicide dose was used to screen the highly resistant parents. All the selected R plants survived this herbicide concentration (ten-fold the normal recommended dose) (Letouzé and Gasquez 2000). Among these fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistant plants, 95% were also resistant to two-fold the recommended dose of flupyr-sulfuron (159 g a.i. ha⁻¹ as "Lexus XPE", 33%; Dupont). The S and R parents were also selected according to contrasting homozygous isozyme genotypes before they were used in crosses (see below).

Development of the F_1 generation

To produce F_1 seeds, parental plants were germinated and grown as described in the "general procedures" section and 15 reciprocal crosses were made by hand in the greenhouse between the S and R selected parents. Crosses were carried out by enclosing a spike from each S and R parent within a bag 1 day before anthesis. At the end of the flowering time, the bag was taken off to ensure good seed maturation. The F_1 seeds from each parental spike involved in the cross were harvested at maturity as individual seed lots.

Development of the F_2 generation

The F_2 generation was produced by making crosses between F_1 plants from two different families consisting entirely of fenoxa-

prop-P-ethyl-resistant individuals. Crosses were made between F_1 plants from the same family or between individuals from each family. Crosses and harvest of the F_2 seeds were conducted as described above.

F_1 hybrid identification

Although blackgrass is a highly allogamous species, a low level of self-pollinisation may occur (Chauvel and Gasquez 1994). Thus, to differentiate true F_1 hybrids from "selfed" ones, the S and R parents were selected based on contrasting homozygous isozyme genotypes. The superoxide dismutase (SOD) locus is polymorphic in blackgrass and is represented by two alleles ("lower-band" allele and "upper-band" allele) (Chauvel 1991). Parents selected for each cross had different alleles at the SOD locus. As the SOD enzyme is dimeric (Fridovich 1975), the heterozygous genotype of the true F_1 hybrids will be three-banded. The mixture of true hybrids and "selfed" seeds produced from each of the reciprocal crosses were germinated and grown as described in the "General procedures" section and 420 F_1 seedlings were screened for hybridity at the three-leaf stage by conducting isozyme electrophoresis (Gasquez and Compoin 1976) and SOD staining (Chauvel 1991).

Resistance screening procedures in the F_1 and F_2 generations

Spraying of herbicide

At the three-leaf stage, the true F_1 seedlings, identified using the SOD marker, were sprayed with 138 g a.i. ha⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl (two-fold the lethal dose) with a laboratory sprayer as described by Letouzé et al. (1997). This herbicide dose, lower than the one used to screen the R parents, allowed the selection of both the slightly and highly R F_1 plants (Letouzé and Gasquez 1999). The S and R phenotypes of the F_1 plants were defined 4 weeks after the herbicide treatment: the individuals that were killed by the herbicide treatment were classified as susceptible (S) and those that survived the herbicide treatment were classified as resistant (R). The R F_1 hybrids which originated from the 100% R F_1 families were then used for making crosses to produce the F_2 generation.

Seedling bioassay

A seedling bioassay, based on the coleoptile length after a 6-days-growth in 6 mg.l⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P acid (AE F088406 00 IC94 0001, AgrEvo, Germany) was used to screen resistance in the F_2 generation (Letouzé and Gasquez 1999). According to this herbicide resistance screening test, the coleoptile length of S and R seedlings are shorter and longer than 10 mm, respectively. This seedling test also allows the distinction between two kinds of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant plants: the "highly" resistant (Rh) with a coleoptile longer than 20 mm and the "moderately" resistant (Rm) with a coleoptile between 10 and 20 mm (Letouzé and Gasquez 1999). The S and R phenotype of 450 F_2 plants originating from crosses between F_1 plants coming from the 100% R F_1 families was defined using this seedling test.

Mechanisms of resistance

Fenoxaprop-P-ethyl

To investigate the mechanism(s) of resistance involved in the R population, the seedling test was conducted with the F_2 generation using four selective inhibitors of P 450 mono-oxygenases (P 450) and glutathione transferases (GST). The inhibitors used were: 1-aminobenzotriazole (ABT) (Sigma, France), piperonyl-butoxide (PBO) (Loveland Industries, USA) and malathion (Cluzeau, France) as P 450 inhibitors (Christopher et al. 1994; Gaillardon et al. 1985; Singh et al. 1998), and tridiphane (AGER 280959

Dowelanco, USA) as a GST inhibitor (Lamoureux et al. 1986). ABT (10 mg.l⁻¹), PBO (20 mg.l⁻¹), malathion (20 mg.l⁻¹) and tridiphane (0.625 mg.l⁻¹) were used in combination with 6 mg.l⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P acid. For each inhibitor, the concentration selected was the highest among a range of doses that had no phytotoxic effect on its own. (Letouzé 1999). Thus, when the growth of a resistant coleoptile is affected, it only results from the inhibition of the target de-toxication enzyme used in combination with the herbicide. Fifty F_2 seeds from the same crosses used in the seedling test were grown for 6 days in the presence of one of the four combinations "fenoxaprop-P acid+inhibitor." The inhibitor effect was then assessed by measuring the coleoptile length.

Flupyrulfuron

A similar experiment was conducted with the F_2 generation to characterize the cross-resistance to flupyrulfuron of the R population. These F_2 seedlings came from the same F_1 crosses used to investigate the mechanism of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance. A dose of 320 mg.l⁻¹ of flupyrulfuron (as "Lexus XPE", 33%; Dupont) was used with each inhibitor concentration. This flupyrulfuron concentration has been previously selected to allow a reliable discrimination between susceptible (S) and resistant (R) seedlings: the R coleoptiles are longer than 10 mm whereas the S coleoptiles never exceed 10 mm (Letouzé 1999; Letouzé et al. 1999).

Statistical analysis

For each generation, the observed segregation ratios of susceptible and resistant phenotypes were tested for goodness of fit to expected Mendelian ratios using a chi-square test (Scherrer 1984). Homogeneity chi-square tests were performed to determine if the data could be pooled within crosses and between reciprocal crosses (Scherrer 1984).

Results

Inheritance of resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl

F_1 results

The phenotype of the F_1 seedlings generated from 15 reciprocal crosses between susceptible (S) and resistant (R) plants was determined by spraying with 138 g.ha⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl. The two parental phenotypes were observed: a R phenotype with slight or no injury and a S phenotype that was killed by the herbicide treatment. No significant differences were found in the fenoxaprop-P response of F_1 plants generated from reciprocal crosses (data not shown). Thus, data from each reciprocal cross have been pooled. Based on data from the spray test, three different types of F_1 families were observed (A, B and C) and a homogeneity chi-square test indicated that there was no significant difference in the fenoxaprop-P response of families within each group (Table 1). Group A, made up of three F_1 families (173 F_1 plants), segregated in 89 R and 84 S individuals, group B, made up of ten F_1 families (247 plants), segregated in 196 R and 51 S plants, whereas two F_2 families in group C (70 F_1 plants) consisted entirely of plants resistant to 138 g.ha⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl (Table 1). The uniform expression of a R parental phenotype in the F_1 families from group C led to the conclusion that fenoxaprop-P-

Table 1 Segregation for fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance in the three groups of F₁ families generated from crosses between resistant (R) and susceptible (S) parents

| F ₁ family groups | No. of F ₁ families | Number of seedlings | | | R:S ^a | χ ² | Probability | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|----|-------|------------------|----------------|-------------|------|
| | | R | S | Total | | | | |
| A | 3 | 89 | 84 | 173 | 1:1 | 0.15 | 0.70 | |
| B | 10 | 196 | 51 | 247 | 3:1 | 2.42 | 0.12 | |
| C | 2 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 1:0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₁ families within each group | | | | | | A | 0.04 | 0.98 |
| | | | | | | B | 4.93 | 0.84 |
| | | | | | | C | 0 | 1 |

^a Expected Mendelian segregation ratio between S and R phenotypes for each F₁ family group

Table 2 Segregation for fenoxaprop-P resistance in the F₂ generation generated from crosses between resistant F₁ plants from group C. S: susceptible; R: resistant; Rh: “highly” resistant; Rm: “moderately” resistant

| F ₂ crosses | Number of seedlings | | | Expected ratio | χ ² | Probability | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------|
| | R | | S | | | | |
| | Rh CL>20 ^a | Rm 10<CL<20 ^a | CL<10 ^a | | | | |
| 1 | 34 | 12 | 4 | 12:3:1 | 1.31 | 0.52 | |
| 2 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 12:3:1 | 0.45 | 0.80 | |
| 3 | 33 | 12 | 5 | 12:3:1 | 2.40 | 0.30 | |
| 4 | 39 | 9 | 2 | 12:3:1 | 0.48 | 0.79 | |
| 5 | 37 | 10 | 3 | 12:3:1 | 0.05 | 0.97 | |
| 3 | 32 | 13 | 5 | 12:3:1 | 3.33 | 0.19 | |
| 7 | 36 | 10 | 4 | 12:3:1 | 0.35 | 0.84 | |
| 8 | 37 | 11 | 2 | 12:3:1 | 0.69 | 0.71 | |
| 9 | 35 | 12 | 3 | 12:3:1 | 0.91 | 0.64 | |
| Observed | 321 | 99 | 30 | 12:3:1 | 3.47 | 0.18 | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₂ crosses | | | | | | 6.61 | 0.98 |

^a Based on coleoptile length (CL, mm) in the seedling test

ethyl resistance is dominant. The absence of 100% S F₁ families supports this conclusion. Furthermore, results from group C suggested that the two R parents that generated these 100% R F₁ families were homozygous for the resistance trait. A chi-square test indicated that F₁ families from group A segregated in a 1:1 resistant: susceptible (R:S) ratio ($P=0.7$), indicating that the resistance of the R parents involved in these crosses is governed by a single nuclear dominant gene (Table 1). The F₁ families from group B segregated in a 3:1 (R:S) pattern ($P=0.12$, Table 1). Therefore, the R parents which have generated these F₁ families appear to have, at least, two nuclear dominant genes endowing resistance. Moreover, the presence of both R and S parental phenotypes in the F₁ families from group A and B suggested that the R parents involved in these crosses were heterozygous for the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance trait. Therefore, the F₁ experiment suggested that some R parents are resistant to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl due to one resistant gene whereas others possess at least two resistant genes.

F₁ results

To further confirm the hypothesis that fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance is governed by at least two nuclear dominant genes for the majority of the R parents, we followed the inheritance of resistance through the F₂ generation. Segregation studies were conducted using F₂ progenies originating from crosses between F₁ plants that had

survived 138 g.ha⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl and where the R parent was presumed to be homozygous for the resistance trait (F₁ plants from group C). The resistance segregation was determined for nine F₂ crosses using the seedling test. For all the F₂ crosses tested, when seedlings were exposed to 6 mg·l⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl for 6 days, three distinct responses were observed based on the coleoptile length: (1) seedlings with a coleoptile smaller than 10 mm, (2) seedlings with a coleoptile between 10 and 20 mm long, and (3) seedlings with a coleoptile longer than 20 mm (Table 2). These F₂ seedlings were classified as phenotypically susceptible (S), “moderately” resistant (Rm) and “highly” resistant (Rh), respectively. For fenoxaprop-P-ethyl, both S and Rh phenotypes were parental (Table 3), whereas the Rm phenotype was intermediate. All the F₂ crosses were made of S, Rm and Rh seedlings and a homogeneity chi-square test indicated that there were no significant differences between the fenoxaprop-P response of the nine F₂ crosses (Table 2). Thus, data from each family were pooled (Table 2). If resistance was due to two independent dominant genes (gene 1 and gene 2) with two alleles (“R” for the resistant allele and “r” for the susceptible allele), and provided that either of the two resistant alleles (R1 or R2) respectively confers a high and a moderate level of resistance, the F₂ crosses tested should segregate in a 12:3:1 (Rh:Rm:S) ratio as shown by the theoretical model in Fig. 1A. Among the F₂ plants from the seedling test (450 plants), 321 were “highly” resistant, 99 were “moderately” resistant and 30 were susceptible (Table 2).

| FENOXAPROP-P (12 : 3 : 1, Rh : Rm : S) | | | | | FLUPYRSULFURON (3 : 1, Rm : S) | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Alleles | R1R2 | R1r2 | r1R2 | r1r2 | Alleles | R1R2 | R1r2 | r1R2 | r1r2 |
| R1R2 | R1R1 R2R2 | R1R1 r2R2 | r1R1 R2R2 | r1R1 r2R2 | R1R2 | R1R1 R2R2 | R1R1 r2R2 | r1R1 R2R2 | r1R1 r2R2 |
| R1r2 | R1R1 R2r2 | R1R1 r2r2 | r1R1 R2r2 | r1R1 r2r2 | R1r2 | R1R1 R2r2 | R1R1 r2r2 | r1R1 R2r2 | r1R1 r2r2 |
| r1R2 | R1r1 R2R2 | R1r1 r2R2 | r1r1 R2R2 | r1r1 r2R2 | r1R2 | R1r1 R2R2 | R1r1 r2R2 | r1r1 R2R2 | r1r1 r2R2 |
| r1r2 | R1r1 R2r2 | R1r1 r2r2 | r1r1 R2r2 | r1r1 r2r2 | r1r2 | R1r1 R2r2 | R1r1 r2r2 | r1r1 R2r2 | r1r1 r2r2 |

Fig 1 Segregation pattern and expected phenotypes for a two gene model for F₂ seedlings exposed for 6 days to 6 mg·l⁻¹ of fenoxaprop or 320 mg·l⁻¹ flupyr-sulfuron R1=resistant allele for gene 1 conferring a high level of resistance to fenoxaprop-P, r1=susceptible allele for gene 1 conferring susceptibility to both herbicides, R2=resistant allele for gene 2 conferring a moderate level of resistance to both fenoxaprop-P and flupyr-sulfuron, r2=susceptible allele for gene 2 conferring susceptibility to both herbicides. Phenotype: ■ “highly” resistant (Rh) ■ “moderately” resistant (Rm) □ susceptible (S)

Table 3 Coleoptile lengths (CL, mm) after 6 days growth without herbicide, on 6 mg·l⁻¹ of fenoxaprop-P or 320 mg·l⁻¹ of flupyr-sulfuron for the susceptible (S) and resistant (R) populations used as parents in the inheritance study

| Herbicide | Populations | Number of seedlings | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|-------|
| | | CL<10 | 10<CL<20 | CL>20 |
| None | R | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| | S | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Fenoxaprop-P | R | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| | S | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Flupyr-sulfuron | R | 5 | 95 | 0 |
| | S | 100 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4 Segregation for fenoxaprop-P resistance in the F₂ generation originating from crosses between resistant F₁ plants from group C after 6 days of growth with the seedling test in the herbi-

| Inhibitor | Phenotypes | F ₂ crosses | | | | | | | Total | Expected ratio | χ ² | Probability |
|--|------------|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | | |
| Number of individuals | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ABT | Rh | 36 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 34 | 38 | 38 | 259 | 12 | 1.02 | 0.6 |
| | Rm | 11 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 72 | 3 | | |
| | S | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 1 | | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₂ crosses | | | | | | | | | | | 4.18 | 0.98 |
| PBO | Rh | 33 | 40 | 36 | 38 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 249 | 12 | 2.69 | 0.26 |
| | Rm | 13 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 77 | 3 | | |
| | S | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 24 | 1 | | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₂ crosses | | | | | | | | | | | 5.23 | 0.95 |
| Tridiphane | Rh | 34 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 251 | 12 | 2.77 | 0.25 |
| | Rm | 12 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 76 | 3 | | |
| | S | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 26 | 1 | | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₂ crosses | | | | | | | | | | | 4.18 | 0.98 |
| Malathion | Rh | 34 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 255 | 3 | 2.10 | 0.35 |
| | Rm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | S | 16 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 95 | 1 | | |
| Test of homogeneity among F ₂ crosses | | | | | | | | | | | 4.60 | 0.97 |

These observed ratios were not significantly different from the predicted 12:3:1 (Rh:Rm:S) ratio ($P=0.18$; Table 2). Thus, these results confirm the hypothesis drawn from the F₁ experiment that fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance of most of the R parents is controlled by two independent nuclear dominant genes, and indicated that each of the two dominant alleles, R1 and R2, respectively confers a high and a moderate level of resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl.

Mechanism of resistance

Resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl

The distinction between the two resistant phenotypes (Rh and Rm) in the studied F₂ generation suggested that each of the two genes conferring fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance might govern a distinct mechanism of resistance. Therefore, to test the hypothesis that several mechanisms coexists in the R population, the seedling test was conducted with seven of the nine F₂ crosses using selective inhibitors of P 450 mono-oxygenases (P 450) and glutathione transferases (GST) (Table 4).

icide and a detoxifying enzyme inhibitor. S:susceptible; Rh:“highly” resistant; Rm:“moderately” resistant; ABT: aminobenzotriazole; PBO: pyperonyl butoxide

es (Table 5). When F_2 seedlings were exposed to flupyr-sulfuron with either ABT, PBO or tridiphane a 3:1 (Rm:S) ratio was observed (Table 5). This ratio was similar to the one observed when the F_2 seedlings were exposed to flupyr-sulfuron alone (Table 5). This result indicated that none of the de-toxifying enzymes inhibited by these products are responsible for the flupyr-sulfuron resistance of the R F_2 seedlings. When exposed to flupyr-sulfuron and malathion, all the F_2 seedlings tested for resistance became susceptible (Table 5). This suggests that P 450 inhibited by malathion is involved in flupyr-sulfuron resistance of the R F_2 seedlings. According to the theoretical model shown in Fig. 1, 3/4 of the F_2 seedlings possess at least one copy of the R2 allele. Thus, these seedlings must be those that are moderately resistant to flupyr-sulfuron due to P 450. Furthermore, Fig. 1 also indicates that 1/4 of the F_2 seedlings do not possess the R2 allele. Thus, these seedlings might be those that are susceptible to flupyr-sulfuron, whether the R1 allele presumed to be responsible for the ACCase mutation is present or not. As all the R parents used for this study are supposed to have a ACCase mutation (Letouzé and Gasquez 2000), the F_2 experiment suggested that the R parents that are highly resistant to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl but do not show cross-resistance to flupyr-sulfuron (Table 4) should only possess the R1 allele responsible for the ACCase mutation. The other 95% of individuals, which are resistant to both fenoxaprop-P-ethyl and flupyr-sulfuron (Table 3), may be resistant to flupyr-sulfuron due to the same P 450 that confers resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl.

Discussion

This study was conducted to determine the inheritance of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl and distinguish the mechanism(s) of resistance involved in a blackgrass population selected following an intensive use of the herbicide. The absence of reciprocal differences in F_1 plants demonstrated that the genetic control of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance is nuclear and not cytoplasmic, while the segregation of resistance in the F_1 and F_2 generations suggested that at least two dominant and independent genes are responsible for resistance in the majority of the resistant (R) parents (Tables 1 and 2). In addition, the non-parental fenoxaprop-P phenotype called moderately resistant (Rm) suggested that these two genes govern a distinct mechanism of resistance, each one conferring a different level of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance (high and moderate).

Up to now, the only mechanism of resistance postulated in the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant blackgrass population used in this study was an ACCase mutation (Letouzé and Gasquez 2000). The segregation of genes in the F_2 generation and the use of selective inhibitors of de-toxifying enzymes revealed that another mechanism of resistance coexists in the R population. Indeed, the seedling test conducted with F_2 plants exposed to fenoxaprop-P-

ethyl and malathion indicated that the majority of the R parents are also resistant to this ACCase inhibitor, probably due to the enhanced herbicide metabolism mediated by P 450 mono-oxygenases (P 450) susceptible to malathion (Table 4). Therefore, this study suggested that each of the two resistant, nuclear, dominant genes governs a distinct mechanism of resistance. Our results are also strong evidence that two mechanisms of resistance may coexist in the same plant. Indeed, the herbicide treatment of a large polymorphic population, like the blackgrass population, may result in the survival of individuals that possess one or more (different) mechanisms of resistance. Therefore, with out-crossing species like blackgrass, there is gene flow among the survivors, resulting in the exchange of different resistance genes and their accumulation in the next generation.

To-date, the only reported mechanism of enhanced fenoxaprop-P-ethyl metabolism was mediated by glutathione transferases (GST) within the "Peldon" blackgrass population from England (Cummins et al. 1997). Thus, this is the first time that a mechanism of resistance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl related to P 450 activity is suggested within blackgrass.

Moreover, the seedling test conducted with F_2 plants exposed to flupyr-sulfuron in association with the four inhibitors revealed that P 450 inhibited by malathion could also be responsible for the resistance to flupyr-sulfuron in 95% of the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant parents, whereas they have never been exposed to this herbicide. Thus, our results suggested that the P 450 enzyme conferring resistance to flupyr-sulfuron may have been selected by the intensive use of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl for 6 consecutive years. The activity of P 450 inhibited by malathion has already been reported to be responsible for the resistance to a sulfonylurea herbicide within rye grass (*Lolium rigidum*) (Christopher et al. 1994). Indeed, this biochemical study revealed that malathion increased chlorsulfuron toxicity by inhibiting the herbicide metabolism mediated by P 450. As a single P 450 conferred resistance to both fenoxaprop-P-ethyl and flupyr-sulfuron, our work suggests that such de-toxifying enzymes are not selective of one herbicide or one herbicide family.

Many studies of inheritance in other species having a ACCase mutation, such as Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) (Betts et al. 1992), wild oat (*Avena fatua*) (Murray et al. 1995) and foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) (Wang et al. 1996), also indicated that resistance was due to monogenic dominant inheritance. On the other hand, very few studies were conducted to examine the inheritance of enhanced herbicide metabolism. The only investigation carried out was with a blackgrass population resistant to chlortoluron (Chauvel 1991) and it was suggested that resistance was controlled by at least two additive genes. Thus, to confirm that the enhanced fenoxaprop-P-ethyl metabolism mediated by P 450 is controlled by a single gene, crosses between the S parents and the F_2 seedlings resistant to flupyr-sulfuron should be made.

As we wanted to use all the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant phenotypes to conduct the inheritance studies in the

F₁ and F₂ generations, the fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant parents have only been sprayed with two-fold of the herbicide recommended dose rather than a range of doses. Thus, dominance or semi-dominance of the two resistant genes has not been investigated. Nevertheless, the spray test indicates that all the R parents (homozygous or heterozygous) are resistant to ten-fold above the recommended dose of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl, and that the majority of them are also resistant to two-fold the recommended dose of flupyr-sulfuron. Thus, one allele responsible for the P 450 activity (designed as R2) or one allele encoded for the ACCase mutation (designed as R1) seems to confer resistance to higher doses than used by farmers in the field. Therefore, under normal field-selection conditions, resistance in a population like the one used in this study would be expressed as a fully dominant trait. Whatever the genotype, either homozygous or heterozygous for one gene or two, the plants will survive under field conditions and will transfer the resistance trait, via pollen transfer, to the next generation. The dominant expression of the resistance genes at the recommended herbicide dose will accelerate the spread of resistance within a population compared to the spread of a recessive resistance gene. In addition, because blackgrass is highly allogamous, the spread of resistance is strongly increased compared to what would be observed for a self-pollinated species. Based on the mode of inheritance for the resistance trait, one would expect that resistance would evolve rapidly in the field given successive applications of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl or flupyr-sulfuron. This finding supports the experiment carried out by Chauvel et al. (1992) with a blackgrass population under greenhouse conditions. In these conditions, only 4 years of intensive use of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl were sufficient to select a 100% fenoxaprop-P-ethyl-resistant population. Finally, this work demonstrates that several mechanisms of resistance, selected by the same herbicide, may coexist in a population and even in the same plant. This phenomenon, already observed in a rye grass populations (Preston et al. 1996), complicates the chemical management of these resistant weed populations. For such a population that accumulates several mechanisms of resistance, the use of mixtures of herbicides and cultural methods appears to be the most-effective resistance management strategy.

Acknowledgments This study was partially supported by funds provided by Aventis.

References

- Bandein JD, Stephenson GR, Cowett ER (1982) Discovery, development and distribution of triazine-resistant weed biotypes. In: LeBaron HM, Gressel J (eds) *Herbicide resistance in plants*. Wiley-Interscience, New York, pp 9–61
- Betts KJ, Ehlke NJ, Wyse DL et al. (1992) Mechanism of inheritance of diclofop resistance in Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*). *Weed Sci* 40:184–189
- Boutsalis P, Powles SB (1995) Inheritance and mechanism of resistance to herbicides inhibiting acetolactate synthase in *Sonchus oleraceus* L. *Theor Appl Genet* 91:242–247
- Chauvel B (1991) Polymorphisme génétique et sélection de résistance aux urées substituées chez *Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds. PhD thesis, Université de Paris-Sud Centre d'Orsay, France
- Chauvel B, Gasquez J (1994) Relationship between genetic polymorphism and herbicide resistance within *Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds. *Heredity* 72:336–344
- Chauvel B, Gasquez J, Doucey MA et al. (1992) Selection for fenoxaprop-P-ethyl resistance within black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.) populations. In: IX^{ème} colloque international sur la biologie des mauvaises herbes, France ANPP, Dijon, France, pp 487–496
- Chauvel B, Munier-Jolain N, Letouzé A et al. (2000) Developmental patterns of leaves and tillers in a blackgrass population (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.). *Agronomie* 20:247–257
- Christopher JT, Preston C, Powles SB (1994) Malathion antagonizes metabolism-based chlorsulfuron resistance in *Lolium rigidum*. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 49:172–182
- Cummins I, Moss S, Cole DJ et al. (1997) Glutathione transferases in herbicide-resistance and herbicide-susceptible black-grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*). *Pestic Sci* 51:244–250
- Devine MD (1997) Mechanisms of resistance to acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase inhibitors: a review. *Pestic Sci* 51:259–264
- Fridovich I (1975) Superoxide dismutases. *Ann Rev Biochem* 44:147–151
- Gaillardon P, Cabanne F, Scalla R et al. (1985) Effect of mixed function oxidase inhibitors on the toxicity of chlortoluron and isoproturon to wheat. *Weed Res* 25:397–402
- Gasquez J (1996) Etat de la résistance aux herbicides en France. In: X^{ème} Colloque international sur la biologie des mauvaises herbes, Dijon, France, pp 173–180
- Gasquez J (1998) Les graminées adventices résistantes aux herbicides antigraminées en France. In: Compte rendu de la dix-septième conférence du coloma, journées internationales sur la lutte contre les mauvaises herbes, ANPP, Dijon, France, pp 133–140
- Gasquez J, Compoint JP (1976) Apport de l'électrophorèse en courant pulsé à la taxonomie d'*Echinochloa crus galli* L. *PB. Ann Amélior Plant* 26:345–355
- Gressel J, Segel LA (1978) The paucity of plants evolving resistance to herbicide: possible reasons and implications. *J Theor Biol* 75:349–371
- Heap I (1999) International survey of herbicide-resistant weeds: lessons and limitations. In: Proceedings of the 1999 Brighton Crop Protection Conference – Weeds. Brighton, UK, pp 769–776
- Hurle EK (1993) Integrated management of grass-weeds in arable crops. In: Proc 1993 Brighton Crop Protection Conference – Weeds. Brighton, UK, pp 81–88
- Jasieniuk M, Brûlé-Babel AL, Morrison IN (1994) Inheritance of trifluralin resistance in green foxtail (*Setaria viridis*). *Weed Sci* 42:123–127
- Jasieniuk M, Brûlé-Babel AL, Morrison IN (1995) The evolution and genetics of herbicide resistance in agricultural weeds. *Weed Sci* 44:176–193
- Lamoureux GL, Rusness D (1986) Tridiphane [2-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-2-(2,2,2-trichloroethyl)oxirane] an atrazine synergist: enzymatic conversion to a potent glutathione S-transferase inhibitor. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 26:323–342
- LeBaron HM (1987) Introduction. *Weed Sci* 35 (suppl 1):2–3
- LeBaron HM, Gressel J (1982) Editor's notes. In: LeBaron HM, Gressel J (eds) *Herbicide resistance in plants*. John Wiley and Sons, USA, pp XV–XVII
- LeBaron HM, McFarland J (1990) Herbicide resistance in weeds and crops – an overview and prognosis. In: Green MB, LeBaron HM, Moberg WK (eds) *Managing resistance to agrochemicals – from fundamental research to practical strategies*. Am Chem Soc, Washington, pp 336–352
- Letouzé A (1999) Détection et caractérisation des résistances aux herbicides antigraminées chez le vulpin (*Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds.). PhD thesis, Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, France

- Letouzé A, Gasquez J (1998) Développement d'un standardisé pour la recherche de résistance croisées. In: Proc dix-septième conférence du COLUMA, journées internationales contre les mauvaises herbes, Dijon, France, pp 141–148
- Letouzé A, Gasquez J (1999) A reliable test for screening aryloxyphenoxypropic acid resistance within *Alopecurus myosuroides* and *Lolium* spp. populations. *Weed Res* 39:37–48
- Letouzé A, Gasquez J (2000) A pollen test to detect ACCase target-site resistance within *Alopecurus myosuroides* populations. *Weed Res* 40:151–162
- Letouzé A, Gasquez J, Vaccara D et al. (1997) Development of new quick tests and state of grass weed herbicide resistance in France. In: Proc 1997 Brighton Crop Protection Conf. Brighton, UK, pp 325–330
- Letouzé A, Matějček A, Henry A, Gasquez J (1999) Rapid determination of herbicide resistance pattern in blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*). In: Proc 1999 Brighton Crop Protection Conference-Weeds, Brighton, UK, pp 557–558
- Machado VS (1982) Inheritance and breeding potential of triazine tolerance and resistance in plants. In: LeBaron HM, Gressel J (eds) *Herbicide Resistance in Plants*. Wiley-Intersciences, New York, pp 257–273
- Mallory-Smith CA, Donald CT, Michael JD et al. (1990) Inheritance of sulfonylureas herbicide resistance in *Lactuca* spp. *Weed Technol* 4:787–790
- Maxwell BD, Mortimer AM (1994) Selection for herbicide resistance. In: Powles SB, Holtum JAM (eds) *Herbicide resistance in plants: biology and biochemistry*. CRC press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp 1–25
- Melander B (1995) Impact of drilling date on *Apera spica-ventis* L. and *Alopecurus myosuroides* Huds. in winter cereals. *Weed Res* 35:157–166
- Murray BG, Morrison IN, Brûlé-Babel AL (1995) Inheritance of acetyl CoA carboxylase resistance in wild-oat (*Avena fatua*). *Weed Sci* 43:233–238
- Ohmes GA, Kendig JA (1999) Inheritance of an ALS-cross-resistance common cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) biotype. *Weed Technol* 13:100–103
- Preston C, Tardif FJ, Christopher JT et al. (1996) Multiple resistance to dissimilar herbicide chemistries in a biotype of *Lolium rigidum* due to enhanced activity of several herbicide degrading enzymes. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 54:123–134
- Ryan GF (1970) Resistance of common groundsel to simazine and atrazine. *Weed Sci* 18:614–616
- Scherrer B (1984) Biostatistique. In: Morin G (ed). Eska S.A.R.L., Paris, France
- Secor J, Cséke C (1988) Inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase activity by haloxyfop and tralkoxydim. *Plant Physiol* 86:10–12
- Singh S, Kirkwood RC, Marshall G (1998) Effect of the monooxygenase inhibitor piperonyl butoxide on the herbicide activity and metabolism of isoproturon in herbicide resistant and susceptible biotype of *Phalaris minor* and wheat. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 59:143–153
- Wang T, Fleury J, Darmency H (1996) Genetic control of dinitroaliline resistance in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*). *Heredity* 37:423–426
- Zeng L, Baird WV (1997) Genetic basis of dinitroaniline herbicide resistance in a highly resistance biotype of goosegrass (*Eleusine indica*). *Heredity* 88:427–432